TATUS OF THE SWIFT FOX IN ASKATCHEWAN

DWIG N. CARBYN, Canadian Wildlife Service, 4999 - 98 Avenue, Edmonton, perta, T6B 2X3 and MARLON KILLABY, Saskatchewan Parks, Recreation and lture, Regina, Saskatchewan. S4S 5W6

The Swift Fox is the smallest wild hid in North America. Though the ift Fox is well adapted to prairie enonments, few Saskatchewan resints have seen this predator. There are wever, still some old-time residents o can remember seeing or hearing out what was then called the "Kit k." (George Scotter, pers. comm.). e present Kit Fox is a closely related cies which occurs in the southern ited States and northern Mexico.

ur records are one way in which the t abundance of fur-bearing animals be measured. Hudson's Bay Comhy records show that between 1853 1877 an average of 4,876 Swift Fox ts were marketed per year.¹ Comrcial trapping continued into the ly 1900s. By 1925 an average of only amak B foxes per year were sold from the nadian prairie provinces (Statistics ada number 23207).⁴ After 1925, number of Swift Fox pelts sold in hada was so small that separate ords for this species were no longer bt. elow

oril 1

Darran

al,

pluri

he

ntle.

artec

ur records can be misleading since it not always possible to determine ct location of origin; however, in the ence of other data, such records do background statistics to population nges.

major problem with Canadian ords is that historically, American ers have sent fur north into the adian markets. This practice cones today.

The IUCN (International Union for the Conservation of Nature) Red Data Book in 1986 listed the Swift Fox as "probably extinct" in the Canadian portion of its range. In 1978 the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC 1978) classified the Swift Fox as "extirpated." The term applies to any species of fauna or flora no longer existing in the wild in Canada, but existing elsewhere. Official designation was assigned to the species 50 years after the last known specimens of foxes in Saskatchewan were collected from Ravenscrag in 1927 and Govenlock in 1928.1 Other isolated reports of Swift Foxes in Saskatchewan were made in 1969, 1971 and 1972 but none of these were confirmed.⁷

South of Saskatchewan, in North Dakota and Montana, a few sightings have been reported within the last 20 years. The presence of a fox in southwestern North Dakota was documented in 1978. A male was trapped in Custer County, southeastern Montana.¹⁰More recently (1984) a single male was trapped in Dawson County, eastern Montana (K. Walcheck, pers. comm.).

The idea of reintroducing the Swift Fox into its original environment began with Beryl and Miles Smeeton. In 1973 they imported the first two foxes from the United States and held them in captivity at their wildlife reserve near Cochrane, Alberta. The following year, this pair had their first litter (Smeeton, pers. comm.). In 1983 and 1984 releases took place in Alberta and Saskatchewan, respectively, using the "soft

release'' technique.¹³ In October 1987 the first "hard releases" took place in both Alberta and Saskatchewan. Definition of soft and hard release techniques are given in the Methods Section.

This paper summarizes information on the fox releases from 1984 to 1989 in Saskatchewan. We discuss the potential impact that cattle management practices may have on Swift Fox habitat by comparing one situation in Saskatchewan with another in Alberta; the two areas are separated by about 80 km. Since the ultimate survival of Swift Foxes may well depend on habitat (both native and non-native) a brief discussion here should stimulate further debate on the subject. Success of Alberta release program is not de with here but will be available (Herr *et al.*, in prep.).

Release Site

The Nashlyn Community Pasta forming part of the PFRA (Prairie Fa Rehabilitation Administration) comp was selected as a suitable release site the Saskatchewan project.¹² The c munity pastures cover 81,034 hecta in the southwestern corner of province; Nashlyn contains 24,8 hectares of this area. To the east Nashlyn pasture borders the Ba Creek pasture (28,297 hectares) and the west the Govenlock pasture (27,8



Figure 1. Locations of Swift Fox release sites in Alberta (Lost River Ranch) a Saskatchewan (Nashlyn) showing areas of known sightings (A series) and hig suspected sightings (B series) in Saskatchewan and Montana from 1984 to 19 (results of soft release program).

ares) (Fig. 1). The Lost River Ranch udes 22,792 hectares along the River in southeastern Alberta.

e Nashlyn pasture is a flat to rolling with mixed grassland vegetation, sected by coulees from Woodpile Battle creeks. Dugouts and dams ide sources of water for cattle that e on the pasture from about 1 May November. Approximately 8% of lashlyn pasture has been cultivated seeded with Crested Wheatgrass Russian Wild Rye (Weins, pers. m.).

the fall of 1987, 389 hectares (960 c) of native prairie were cultivated eeding in 1988. Cattle management cices call for approximately 10% of under cultivation in order to provide early spring forage (R. Moorehead, pers. comm.). Crested Wheatgrass in particular, is an important forage species. Because of rapid growth in April/May, it makes excellent spring grazing for cattle. It can be grazed heavily until mid-June without injuring the stand or reducing its long-time yielding capacity. Once established it persists, even after the eventual reinvasion of native plants.

Native grasslands are characterized by a dominance of Blue Grama Grass and Spear Grass. Ground lichens are common. Various forbs and low bushes (mostly sage brush) occur in patches but are common. Temporary sloughs in flat, poorly drained areas are dispersed throughout. Flood plains contain a variety of forbs and shrubs such as



e 2. Satellite view of the mixed grass prairie areas along the Alberta/Saskewan/Montana border area. The picture clearly shows the challenges ahead anada to retain original grassland habitrats. (Landsat Image courtesy of CCRS, gy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa).

d hia

to



ary Sagebrush, Western Snowberry, , willow and Wolf Willow.

mix of native prairie and cultivated nland is found beyond the pasture ndaries to the north and south, le native grasslands extend both and west. The Canadian/U.S. boris clearly defined by intensive culture south of the border (Fig. 2). hlyn community pasture is quite ote. The nearest town, Consul, is ted 10 km north of the Nashlyn ure.

e Lost River Ranch area contains native prairie and is further surided by extensive areas of native es. These extend to the Alberiskatchewan border, the core area e hard releases carried out in 1987 1988 (Fig. 3).

nods

9

Crossing

Crossing

ift Foxes for release were obtained three institutions, the Calgary Zoo, se Jaw Wild Animals Park and life Reserve of Western Canada. to release, some foxes in both se strategies were fitted with radioars and their subsequent movets monitored, either from the nd, or from the air. Spotlighting was ed out 4 - 9 December 1986 and 26 ary 1987.

"soft" release strategy was used Ily.⁴ This entailed building field (12'x 24'), transporting a pair of to each pen in December and ng the animals over the winter to leased the following summer or Through this method the foxes beaccustomed to the site, with the tion that often the release animals emain in the area. Unlike the Alreleases, Saskatchewan animals in oft release program were not fed the pens were opened. This release ess has also been referred to as the i-hard" release technique. In Saskatchewan, foxes were placed in pens in 1983; 1985 and 1986; they were released in 1984, 1985, 1986 and 1987.

"Hard" releases involve taking animals into the field and releasing them directly into their new environment. These releases were initiated by the Canadian Wildlife Service and carried out in 1987 and in 1988.

Information on the local cattle industry was obtained from the manager of Nashlyn community pasture and the owner of the Lost River Ranch. The practices may vary from year to year, but the general current trends are discussed.

Results

1. Releases

Seventy-seven foxes were released in southwestern Saskatchewan from 1983 to 1988; 39 of these were by the soft release and 38 by the hard release (Table 1). A total of 22 of the 39 in the soft release and 9 of the 38 in the hard release were radio-collared.

Free-ranging Swift Foxes have been sighted by the Nashlyn Pasture staff (R. Moorehead and L. Flaig, pers. comm.). As well, track observations were noted by R. Moorehead in the winters of 1985-86 and 1986-87 while caring for captive foxes. Late winter track surveys in 1988-89 showed no evidence of foxes in the area (C. Mamo, pers. comm.) but R. Moorehead reported a sighting for the summer of 1989.

D. Dobson, (Saskatchewan Parks, Recreation and Culture, SPRC) has received about 14, unconfirmed, firsthand and second hand reports of Swift Fox sightings from residents within the Consul area. Most sightings occurred at night and in areas with mixed agricultural land use. Table 1. SUMMARY OF SWIFT FOX SOFT RELEASES IN SASKATCHEWAN FF JULY 1984 TO JULY 1987 AND HARD RELEASES IN OCTOBER 1987, A SEPTEMBER 1988.

Date and numbe transferred	er	Number of offspring	Number collared	Date of release (total number)
Soft Release				
December 1984	10	7 survived	10	18 July 84 (17)
March 1985	2	-	2	25 March 85 (2)
December 1985	8	2 (did not survive)	4	June 86 (8)
December 1986	10	2 survived	6	late April 87 escaped (2)
Total	30	9	22	23 June 87 (8) 14 July 87 (2)
Hard Release				
October 1987	10	Not applicable	3	5/6 October 87 (10)
September 1988 Total	3 28 38	Not applicable	6 9	16-18 September 88

On 8 January 1986 a fox was struck by a vehicle and killed on Highway #13 near Robsart (Fig. 1 - A1). A possible den site was reported in the fall and winter of 1985 approximately 10 km northeast of the Nashlyn PFRA Headquarters (Fig. 1 - B1). The farmer in question had made a number of fox sightings in one general location. A den site was reported in April 1987 in an area of mixed agricultural use 16 km west of Consul (Fig. 1 - B2).

An open, mild fall greatly aided the establishment of foxes during the first release in October hard 1987. Availability of grasshoppers provided suitable food and possibly minimized dispersal of released foxes. In the spring of 1988, due to the mild winter, overwintering success was excellent. Tracks were seen and sightings of foxes occurred at several locations south and west of Consul. At least two litters were seen. One breeding pair was found 10 km west of Consul. The male of this pair had moved about 50 km to that location and mated with an unknown female. A second breeding pair was found south of the old townsite of Govenlock. This pair produced a record seven pups.

Reports of fox sightings have come from northern Montana. A dent of Chinook, Art Burns, repo that he and his wife had seen a Swif along a road 16 km south of the S atchewan border near Hogeland, N tana in the late summer of 1986 (F - A3). Their description of the an strongly fits that of a Swift Fox and not likely to have been a Red Fo collar was not observed.

Burns also stated that a part-time per had trapped a radio-collared Fox in the fall of 1986. Because trapper feared prosecution, he disp of the radio collar and animal. W asked to confirm his trapping of a state Fox, the individual denied the all tion. He did say, however, that he heard of one or two Swift Foxes b sent to Pacific Hide and Fur Co Havre, Montana. Pacific Hide and stated that they had only one Swift presented to them in 1985-86, being caught by a Hutterite boy r of Gilford, Montana near Sage Cr (Fig. 1 - A2) and further, Pacific Hide Fur had heard of another report of a being caught north of Chinook, N tana.

nother report of free-ranging Swift es was given to Bob Plaster, Conseron officer, Cypress Hills Provincial k. Supposedly, a 1986 big game ter had shot two uncollared Swift es, not knowing what they were, nor he aware of their protected status. ow-up investigators could neither firm nor deny this report.

FR

88

ve

d. ' 1

Vithin the Nashlyn Pasture, nerous dens were found, with tracks signs indicating they clearly were wift Fox origin (probably taken over n other mammals). On 10 May 16, a male radio-collared fox eased March 1985) was seen at a den ch could have been a natal den, ging from extensive signs around the ance. The following year, on 28 il, two dens were found within the rure. One den was definitely ocenty ied by foxes (likely a pair) while the whond den may have contained a pair, ligh only one fox was seen at the ance. All these observations did in w that foxes could survive some an ters in the area and that pups were and to free-ranging foxes.

addition to the above, an unmarked was captured and collared on 25 uary 1986. The animal weighed 2.1

kg and differed somewhat in physical appearance from captive, released animals (see Discussion).

Summer food shortages may occur in some areas during years of drought. Of the first release (17 foxes) three were found dead within three 3 weeks of the release. The initial prognosis was that the animals succumbed to heat stress/ exhaustion and starvation.¹³ To supplement losses, in March 1985, two additional males were transported from the Wildlife Reserve in Cochrane and released in Nashlyn.³ Of these two, one was confirmed dead and the second was located at a den on 10 May 1986. The second male survived the winter without supplemental feeding. Winter tracking in 1986/87 (prior to the 1987 releases) suggested that between four and six foxes were present in the area. An automatic photographic device and trapping revealed that at least two of the free roaming foxes were not radio-collared (Fig. 4). One fox was located on the nights of 4 and 7 December 1986 by spotlighting (R. Laing, pers. comm.).

II. Differences in agricultural practices A comparison of two areas with different farming practices issummarized (Table 2). The main difference is that in

e 2. COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT LAND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES BE-EN LOST RIVER RANCH, ALBERTA AND NASHLYN COMMUNITY PAS-E, SASKATCHEWAN.

	Lost River Ranch	Nashlyn
	approx. 80 sections (22,792 ha)	approx. 97 sections (24,897 ha)
re of in	Rolling topography - major coulees	Flat, slightly undulating, some shallow coulees
of culture	cattle - 1,050 animal units free ranging 600 cows year round; 600 - 1200 calves/ yearlings with supplemental feeding in winter and not free ranging	cattle - 1,700 animal units fairly intensive summer grazing (1 May to November) carrying capacity increased with the presence of seeded areas
1-16 1		

1 animal unit; 1 yearling = .75 animal unit

the Lost River Ranch operation the cattle graze only on native vegetation. Because of this, stocking rates are lower. On average 600 cows remain year round on the range and in winter receive some protein supplements mineral blocks (blocks) and (L. Pietrowski, pers. comm). In addition 600 calves and 600 yearlings are fed hay and supplements from 1 November to the end of April. Grazing in the Nashlyn operation is more intensive over a shorter period extending from around the first week of May to about the last week of October (see details in Table 2).

Discussion

(1) Releases

Up to the spring of 1987 the success ratio of surviving animals (and possibly their offspring), to the number released during the soft release (i.e. semi-hard) program was established at 6:27 or 22%. This does not include a figure on the hard release. Preliminary results indicated higher survivorship in the hard release during the first year, proba due in part to a mild winter with reaccess to grasshoppers into late fall. winter of 1987/88 was one of mildest on record since 1889 (Envir ment Canada).

Population dynamics and mortality wild Swift Foxes is poorly documen in those areas where they are still ab dant. For Red Foxes, it is reported t juvenile mortalities were at least 6 in the first year.¹⁵We can assume t Swift Foxes experience similar h rates of mortality.

The unmarked fox that was captulin February 1986 could have been offspring of the 1984 or 1985 releatin Saskatchewan or an animal timoved into the area from the Albereleases. Other possibilities are that fox moved into the area from souther established populations or had been the area for some time as a member a small established population. On f





re 4. Sequence of photographs taken with an automatic camera device ched to a micro-computer that synchronized flash with shutter. Note approach he fox to the bait tied to the end of a cord (keeps the animal in focus). These the first photos of a free-roaming Swift Fox in Saskatchewan (taken in February 6), since the demise of the species about 30-50 years ago. (Photos reproduced permission of Alberta Naturalist).

)f Vin examination, the overall appearance of that animal differed from those raised in captivity. The animal was shorter and appeared more robust and had a thick coat of fur which was greyer in colour than those of the released animals. However, even in captivity physical appearance can range widely (P. Rhodes, pers. comm.).

(2) Potential impact of cattle grazing on Swift Fox habitat

Cattle have replaced Bison in the prairie ecosystem. Physical evidence of the historical presence of Bison can still be found at both Saskatchewan and Alberta locations. Wolves are known to have frequented the area in the 19th century. Wolves were important predators of Bison. With the loss of Bison, wolf predation on cattle and sheep could not be tolerated, and, therefore two important elements (Bison and Wolves) in the prairie ecosystem were lost once Europeans settled there. An entry in the museum at Eastend notes that "the greatest pest of the ranching days was the Timber Wolf. A photo, showing wolves killed by ranchers, was taken at the Eastend post office in 1908. During the two years 1908 to 1909 bounty was paid from the Eastend post office on 76 Timber Wolves, all caught between Farwell Creek and the South Fork." The presence of both Bison and Wolves would have been of some benefit for Swift Foxes if carrion were available in winter. Bison, however, were known to have moved generally out of the prairies in winter and herds sought more sheltered wooded areas. Therefore, the Bison - Swift Fox relationship may well not have been as simple as it appears at first glance.

Wolves kill coyotes.² Coyotes in turn kill Swift Foxes. Predation by Coyotes on foxes has proven to be a major factor in Swift Fox mortality at the release site in Alberta (C. Mamo, pers. comm.). Grazing and the use of pesticides be important to the survival of § Foxes. For example, the effects of § ing by livestock (or Bison) on Swifi is poorly understood. In pristine cc tions grazing by Bison was likely ir sive in some areas and light, if absent in others. Movements of B from grazed to ungrazed areas th fore, allowed for carryover of gra and a patchy environment.

The presence of grazing ungu may affect foxes in two ways. First is believed that both Swift and Kit F thrive best in areas with unobstru views (Fitzgerald, pers. comm.); gra would appear to be beneficial as as it does not influence availabili prey. For example, areas with high § Fox numbers in parts of its current rein the United States are heavily gra (C. Mamo, pers. comm., and pers. servations). In contrast, lagomorph rodent density may be negativel fected by heavy grazing. We believ presence of rodents to be vital in winter ecology of northern Swift Fc It has been suggested that s granivorous rodents depend on heads, removal of livestock could crease species dependent on t resources.⁹ Heavy grazing could shift availability of some prey spe over to others. For exam Richardson's Ground Squirrels are nal while Deer Mice are noctu Since Swift Foxes tend to be noctu grazing would be detrimental to f if such events favour diurnal spe-Hungry foxes, undoubtedly will t hunt whenever prey becomes avai and it then becomes a question when Swift Foxes are themselves nerable to predation.

Swift Foxes are opportunistic nivores and are capable of takin vantage of a variety of prey sou Diversity provides added insur against an over-reliance on one spe ticularly in critical, seasonal ods. Foxes feed extensively on inebrates and therefore chemical conto reduce grasshopper damage Id affect fox survival. The magide of current chemical use has been orted at an estimated 7 - 8 million es sprayed for grasshopper control in 15 th 6.6

of

With

ly in l

of B B

gr

itter

oughing native prairie and seeding ngu vith non-native grasses results in First or long-term habitat changes. In the Tt term, land that has been broken seeded may not go into production and if moisture conditions are not a purable. The seed can lie dormant up bill bout 6 years before it no longer in minates (L. Pietrowski, pers. man.). Long-term habitat changes ym not be detrimental if, after subuent plant succession, the prey base ophefits. For example, White-tailed rabbits have been seen using irted areas at certain times of the year alin Moorehead, pers. comm.).

e may ask ourselves ''what is the re of Swift Foxes in Saskatchewan?'' al signs are somewhat encouraging, we cannot be overly optimistic. y physically resemble those of earlier examples, it could be that biological charsatis ristics have drastically changed. For odul nple, winter food may be present od guantity and availability would be will prtant.³ Many rodents also undergo space fluctuations and such cycling may will a an important influence on survival wift Foxes. We also cannot ignore timing and sequencing of events. A elverse re winter would be less detrimental x survival if it coincided with high

cycles. Two mild winters with taking er may buffer fox declines when y sold pared to a sequence of alternating nstrict and severe winters. Patchiness and resployival in micro-habitat areas may provide the source for dispersal in years of milder winters to offset losses in severe winters. Finally, we can only speculate about the abundance of the species in the past. Since it is at its northern range, it is not inconceivable that their distribution and abundance fluctuated widely at previous times.

In conclusion, a small nucleus of foxes has been established south-Saskatchewan western and southeastern Alberta. It is too early to be able to predict whether foxes can establish themselves as viable populations in the existing ecosystems. We can speculate about the environmental complexities, but our understanding of links and processes are not complete enough to predict the eventual outcome of our experimental work in the release program.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the constructive atmosphere provided to us and the Swift Fox project by PFRA (Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration) and their personnel. In particular Richard Moorehead and his wife, Sandy, of Nashlyn, were most helpful. Mary-Jane and Leonard Pietrowski (owners of the Lost River Ranch) provided insights into cattle ranching that were important to us. We gratefully acknowledge support in many ways by G. Aiudi, H. Armbruster, D. Dobson, R. Edwards, J.

Fitzgerald, S. Herrero, D. Hjertaas, G. Holroyd, B. Isaac, J. Ives, C. Mamo, S. Mianka, P. Paquet, P. Rhodes, H. Stelfox and T. Weins. This report was written in preparation for a talk given by L. Carbyn to the Saskatoon Natural History Society meetings held in December 1987. It is a pleasure for us to acknowledge the important work done by Natural History groups in Saskatchewan.

Financial support was provided by the Canadian Wildlife Service, World Wildlife Fund Canada, and Saskatchewan Parks, Recreation and Culture.

- ¹ BECK, W.H. 1958. A guide to Saskatchewan mammals. Sask. Nat. Hist. Soc. Spec. Publ. No. 1. 52 pp.
- ² CARBYN, L.N. 1982. Coyote population fluctuations and spatial distribution in relation to wolf territories in Riding Mountain National Park, Manitoba. *Can. Field.-Nat.* 96:176-193.
- ³ CARBYN, L.N. 1986. Some observations on the behaviour of Swift Foxes in reintroduction programs within the Canadian Prairies. *Alberta Naturalist* 16:37-41.
- ⁴ CARLINGTON, B. 1980. Reintroduction of the Swift Fox (*Vulpes velox*) to the Canadian prairies. M.Sc. Univ. of Calgary. 180 pp.
- ⁵ COSEWIC. 1978. Committee on the status of endangered wildlife in Canada. Swift Fox Vol. 1.
- ⁶ JAMES, P.C. and G.A. FOX 1987. Effects of some insecticides on productivity of Burrowing Owls. *Blue Jay* 45:65-71.
- ⁷ LOOMAN, J. 1972. Possible recent Kit Fox record in Saskatchewan. *Blue Jay* 30:136.
- ⁸ MOORE, R.E. and N.S. MARTIN 1980. A recent record of the Swift Fox (*Vulpes velox*) in Montana. *Journal of Mammalogy* 61:161.
- O'FARRELL, T.P. 1983. The San Joaquin Kit Fox recovery plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Portland, Oregon. 84 pp.
- ¹⁰ PFEIFER, W.K. and E.A. HIBBARD 1970. A recent record of the Swift Fox (*Vulpes velox*) in North Dakota. *Journal* of Mammalogy 51:835.

- ¹¹ RAND, A.L. 1948. Mammals o eastern Rockies and western plair Canada. Nat. Mus. of Canada. I 108:1-327.
- ¹² REYNOLDS, J. 1983. Evaluatio potential Swift Fox release area southwestern Saskatchewan. C.' report. 22 pp.
- ¹³ SCOTT-BROWN, J.M. and S. I RERO 1985. Monitoring of rele Swift Foxes in Alberta and katchewan. Progress Report. 62 pp
- SCOTT-BROWN, J.M., S. HERRI and C. MAMO 1986. Monitorin released Swift Foxes in Alberta and katchewan. Unpubl. final report C. 96 pp.
- ¹⁵ STORM, G.L., R.D. ANDREWS, PHILLIPS, R.A. BISHOP, D.B. SI and J.R. TESTER 1976. Morphol reproduction, dispersal and mortali midwestern Red Fox populati Wildlife Monograph 49. 82 pp.

FERNAND PERRAULT

In the fall of 1988 Fernand and Perrault were the recipients of Society's Conservation Award. Or February 1989 Fernand passed a Fernand was a rancher for most o life. He had a lifelong interest in nat history and was known to many interests in that field. In 1984 he his ranch to become part of Grasslands National Park. He wil sorely missed not only in Val Marie elsewhere in Saskatchewan.