

SE OF A WHOOPING CRANE NEST BY SANDHILL CRANE

NIE KUYT, Canadian Wildlife Service, Room 210, 4999 - 98 Avenue, Edmonton, Alberta. T6B 2X3

Each spring the Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS) surveys the Whooping Crane breeding range in Wood Buffalo National Park (WBNP) to determine initiation of nest building and egg laying by Whooping Cranes as well as location, number of nests, clutch size and identification of nesting and non-nesting birds based on individually diagnostic colour bands.⁸ Most of the work is done in nature, and is carried out by means of surveys in small fixed-wing aircraft, based in Fort Smith, Northwest Territories.⁶

During the removal of surplus eggs in May, nests, nest ponds and eggs are examined.⁴ A Bell 206 helicopter is used during these visits, the only time of the spring when observers are on the ground. The pilot lands the helicopter close to the nest as convenient without dislodging nesting material by rotor wash. Duration of nest visits from landing of helicopter to take-off depends on the type of helicopter, aircraft carriage (pontoons are best and skids better than low ones), skill and experience of the pilot in handling the machine and in selecting landing sites, and on the difficulty of the terrain. Egg collectors must traverse between helicopter and nest. Nest visits (n = 82) during egg pickup from 1986 - 1988 varied from 3 - 20 min. per nest and the mean nest visit lasted 8.5 min. During this time, one or two observers climb or wade from the helicopter to the nest to photograph the nest, record nest dimensions and water depth of nest pond, test viability of one or both eggs in the nest, collect one egg and return to the helicopter. The viability test in-

volves briefly immersing the egg in a container of 30 - 34°C water and watching for movements of the egg in still water. Egg removals since 1985 have taken place between 21 and 27 May and, as most eggs are then about 24 days old (incubation period of 29 - 30 days), the embryo is large and its movements within the shell cause the egg to rotate or rock. The live egg selected to remain is carefully blotted dry and replaced in the nest. Sometimes the second egg is also tested at the nest in case substitution of a live egg into a nest containing non-viable eggs has to be made. The result of this nest management is that a maximum of viable single egg clutches is being incubated by Whooping Cranes. Since 1985, the technique has resulted in a 12 - 16% increase in hatching success of single egg clutches left in WBNP and undoubtedly has enhanced production of juvenile birds.

On 8 May 1988 nest 21/88 was found in the northwest corner of the "non-breeder" area between Sass and Klewi rivers.⁵ One of the two adults attending the nest was colour-banded on 13 August 1979 as an unfledged chick in the Klewi marshes about 10 km northeast of the location of nest 21/88. That bird, banded BWB-Red was found with an unbanded bird at its first nest (26/84) in May 1984 about 2.6 km northeast of present nest 21/88.⁸ The two cranes produced two eggs in 1984 and the chick, hatched from the egg left in the nest after the 1984 egg pickup was banded (White-Blue) in August 1984. That fall, the unbanded adult apparently collided with a power line near Lin-



Figure 1. Nest 21/88 containing two Whooping Crane eggs (right side) and one Sandhill Crane egg (left side of nest), 27 May 1988 Jacques Sa

ton, North Dakota and the bird, later determined to be a male, was taken into captivity where it eventually died of its injuries. The surviving adult and her juvenile White-Blue safely reached the winter range on the Aransas National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR).

Although female BWB-Red became associated with an adult male at ANWR that winter and apparently began spring migration with that bird, the male bred with an unbanded female in 1985 and I have little information on the summer location of BWB-Red. On 18 September Paul Goossen (pers. comm.) saw the female with her yearling White-Blue and an apparently unbanded crane just east of a shallow reference lake, called Whale Lake, about 450 m south of the eventual nest 21/88. It is highly unlikely that BWB-Red nested in 1985 and she spent the winter at ANWR with sub-

adults (Tom Stehn, pers. comm.) though it is possible that the unbanded crane may have been with her.

I did not locate BWB-Red in 1986 obviously she had nested somewhere for when she arrived at ANWR in 1986 she was accompanied by an unbanded chick and an unbanded adult. Sometime in the autumn of 1986 the female's colour bands disappeared. The bird, still carrying a metal band on the left leg, became difficult to identify.

In 1987 additional information was obtained about BWB-Red. On 7 November I found a Whooping Crane on a small nest island in a 150 m long and 60 m wide oval lake, about 200 m north of Whale Lake. As the survey aircraft flying at 300 - 350 m, circled the nest (nest 27/87) the bird stood up (revealed

two eggs in the nest) and moved into a stand of spruce trees beside the nest pond. It is unusual for a Whooping Crane to leave the nest when the aircraft is at that altitude and the crane's behaviour as well as the presence of a pair of feeding Whooping Cranes only 300 m from the nest may have been indicative of a relatively inexperienced breeding pair at nest 27/87. Two subsequent aerial surveys at 300 m altitude confirmed the skittish nature of the incubating bird which would stand up at our approach, walk into the same clump of spruce trees and remain standing a few metres from the nest until the aircraft had left. The bird's mate was observed on all three occasions, first about 100 m from the nest, and 10 m from the nest on the latter two occasions. Because of the bird's behaviour and a concern that the crane might abandon its nest I decided not to disturb the incubating bird again and not to collect an egg during the 1987 egg pickup.

Our next survey, on 16 June, revealed that both eggs had hatched as the parents, feeding on the west shore of Male Lake, were accompanied by two small chicks. Our 30 July survey indicated one of the chicks had disappeared but the surviving chick was captured and colour-banded on 8 August. The family group arrived at ANWR that fall and Tom Stehn (pers. comm.) observed the female's metal band and indicated that, also based on his knowledge of Whooping Crane winter territories, the male was the former BWB-Red.

Aerial surveys on 29 April and 3 May 1988 revealed no whooping Cranes near the previous year's nest 27/87 but on 5 May we sighted a pair of Whooping Cranes on the ground 250 m east of Male Lake and nearby a flying Sandhill Crane. We saw no colour bands on the Whooping Cranes. The two birds flapped in the air several times as the aircraft circled.

On 8 May a Whooping Crane was found on a nest (nest 21/88) on the same islet as in 1987. A second bird was seen 100 m south of the nest. As we circled the nest in order to plot its location on an airphoto, the crane got up from its nest and walked slowly into the woods east of the nest pond in exactly the same way as in 1987. There were two differently coloured eggs in the nest, one green and the other brown. Whooping Crane eggs when observed from the survey aircraft usually appear greenish in colour, and the light olive-green to buffy-green base colour with numerous brown or purplish-brown blotches can only be seen at closer range. There is considerable variation in egg colour: some are dark olive-brown eggs and in two cases (same pair in consecutive years) eggs were nearly white. In all cases where differently coloured eggs occurred in the same nest, there was never any doubt that both eggs were Whooping Crane eggs. Field reports for 1984 and 1987 do not make mention of differently coloured eggs in nests 26/84 and 27/87.

On 10 May 1988 we circled nest 21/88 to determine if the birds at the nest were banded. The incubating bird refused to rise and we saw no bands on the second bird nearby. On 16 May the incubating bird did not leave the nest as we approached. Its behaviour now was similar to that of other nesting cranes and I decided to include nest 21/88 in the nests earmarked for removal of surplus eggs.

When I approached the small nest island during the egg pickup on 27 May I noted a clutch of three eggs in the nest (Fig. 1), two eggs of normal greenish colour, the third egg a glossy light-brown colour. My 1988 report on egg removal states the brown egg resembled that of a Sandhill Crane. All three eggs were tested in the field, were alive and were judged to be between 15



Whooping Cranes

Lorne Sc

and 22 days old. Whooping Crane eggs in this category when suspended in water, have the air-cell end of the egg just touching the water surface or protruding slightly above it. One of the two green eggs was the youngest egg in the clutch and the other two eggs were approximately the same age. The older of the two green eggs was left in the nest. Measurements of the collected green and brown eggs (length, width, weight) were respectively 102 mm, 62 mm, 198 g and 96 mm, 61 mm, 169 g. One live Whooping Crane egg removed from nest 18/88 in 1988 was smaller in all three measurements than the egg we believed to be a Sandhill Crane egg. The second egg in nest 18/88 was thinner and weighed less than the Sandhill Crane egg but all other Whooping Crane egg measurements in 1988 were greater than those of the Sandhill Crane egg.

Both eggs, shipped to the Patuxent Research Center, U.S. Fish and Wildlife

Service, Laurel, Maryland, hatched, the brown egg on 5 June, the green one on 8 June (Dave Ellis, pers. comm.). Dave Ellis also confirmed that the chick that hatched from the brown egg was a Sandhill Crane.

Discussion

The younger of the two Whooping Crane eggs from nest 21/88 which was shipped to the Patuxent Research Center, hatched there on 8 June and consequently was laid on or about 9 May. The Sandhill Crane from nest 21/88 hatched at Patuxent on 5 June and, with a 21-30 day incubation period, would have been laid on or about 6 May.¹⁰ Whooping Crane eggs are usually laid two days apart and although the hatching date of the egg left in nest 21/88 is not known, hatching would have occurred on or about 6 June and the Whooping Crane eggs likely were laid on 7 and 9 May.

The most plausible scenario leading up to the mixed clutch of eggs sugge

at the Sandhill Crane laid her egg on May during the Whooping Crane pair's absence. When the Whooping Crane female went to the nest to lay her egg, she and her mate could easily have driven off the Sandhill Crane, reoccupied the nest and continued the normal defense of the nesting territory. Whooping Cranes introduced in Grays Lake, Idaho, frequently have been observed driving off Greater Sandhill Cranes and there seems to be no reason why the smaller Sandhill Crane subspecies in WBNP could not also be evicted by Whooping Cranes.

It does not take Whooping Cranes long to begin egg laying after the completion of spring migration. On 5 May 1988 I observed family 21/87 (including its colour banded juvenile, then about 11 months old) near the Sass river about 12 km east of its previous year's nest. Three days later the adults had an egg in a nest in the same small nest pond where they have nested each year since 1984. In this short interval, the cranes completed their migration, spent some time near the Sass river, flew to their feeding territory, prepared the nest and produced an egg. It is therefore quite possible that the pair of Whooping Cranes we observed east of Whale Lake on 5 May 1988 could have had a completed nest and an egg on 8 May.

It is also possible, but less likely, that the Whooping Crane egg was the first in the nest and that that crane temporarily left the nest area allowing the Sandhill Crane to move in and lay an egg. In my experience, Whooping Cranes almost never leave their nest and egg(s) for long and, with both adults alternating incubation duties, one bird is always on or at the nest. Walkinshaw also reports on the attentiveness of cranes at nests.¹ It is not known if young, inexperienced cranes are less attentive than older birds at nests, but in view of an observed lower hatching

success at nests of first-time breeding Whooping Cranes (Ernie Kuyt, unpubl. data), I consider this a possibility. Numbers of Whooping Cranes in the WBNP population have increased in recent years.⁷ We do not have population data for Sandhill Cranes in the area, but if the latter bird's population increased or remained the same, the potential number of interactions between the two species would increase. Each spring since 1981 single Sandhill Crane nests (two nests in 1983) were found fortuitously during aerial surveys for Whooping Cranes. Sandhill Cranes in WBNP, feeding or on nests are more difficult to sight than Whooping Cranes, and Sandhill Cranes no doubt are more common than our records indicate. Although both species will nest in similar habitat there is a greater proclivity for Sandhill Cranes to nest on small natural islets or hummocks in shallow ponds, and their nests, when viewed from the air contain smaller amounts of nest material than the often bulky nests of Whooping Cranes. Of nine Sandhill Cranes nests found since 1981 during Whooping Crane breeding pair surveys, one nest was found as close as 350 m from the nest of a second-time nesting pair of Whooping Cranes. None of the other eight nests were closer than 750 m to the nearest Whooping Crane nest.

The two species may approach each other closely during the nonbreeding portion of their stay in WBNP: we have observed subadult Whooping Cranes feeding with Sandhill Cranes on a few occasions and during banding in 1987, Jonathan Kuyt carrying a just captured Whooping Crane chick to the helicopter, flushed a flightless juvenile Sandhill Crane from a small hummocky island. That chick (also captured and banded) was close to attaining flight as judged by the development of primaries and much closer to flight stage than the Whooping Crane chick captured nearby.

Three-egg clutches in Whooping Cranes are exceedingly rare and only three clutches in 406 observed nests between 1966 and 1988 contained three eggs each.¹ ³None of the nine eggs hatched and the only hatching success of one or more eggs in a three-egg Whooping Crane clutch is mentioned by Bradshaw from a marsh near the village of Plenty, Saskatchewan in what may have been the last nest recorded in Saskatchewan.²

Blue Goose dump nests and nest parasitism involving Blue Geese and other birds are not uncommon in the vicinity of dense nesting colonies in arctic areas, particularly in late seasons with delayed snow melt.⁹ It is unlikely that any of the chicks hatched from foreign eggs in nests involving interspecific nest parasitism as described by Prevett would have survived for long.⁹ In the case of Sandhill-Whooping Crane mixed clutches, chances of survival of these closely related species would be greater than in the above arctic examples. The present record indicates that Whooping Cranes are capable of incubating a three-egg clutch of eggs without loss up to the third week in incubation. I consider it likely that all three eggs would eventually have hatched if left undisturbed. Survival to flight stage of all three chicks would have been considerably less certain.

Acknowledgements

I thank Alex Dzubin (CWS) for providing useful references, Roger Edwards (CWS) for reviewing the paper, Jacques Saquet (Canadian Parks Service) for use of the nest photograph and Jim Carpenter, Patuxent Research Center, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for providing hatching data of eggs.

¹ BENT, A.C. 1927. Life histories of North American marsh birds. United States National Museum Bulletin 135 (Dover Reprint 1963). 392 pp.

- ² BRADSHAW, F. 1956. The home of the whooping crane. *Blue Jay* 14(3):76-78.
- ³ KUYT, E. 1976. Recent clutch size data for whooping cranes, including a three-egg clutch. *Blue Jay* 34(2):82-83.
- ⁴ KUYT, E. 1976. Whooping Cranes: The long road back. *Nature Canada* 5(2):2-9.
- ⁵ KUYT, E. 1979. Banding of juvenile whooping cranes and discovery of the summer habitat used by nonbreeders. Pp. 109-111. *Proceedings 1978 Crane Workshop*, Rockport, Texas. Edited by J.C. Lewis. Colorado State University Printing Service.
- ⁶ KUYT, E. 1981. Clutch size, hatching success and survival of whooping crane chicks, Wood Buffalo National Park, Canada. Pp. 126-127. *in Proceedings International Crane Symposium, Sapporo, Japan*. Edited by J.C. Lewis and H. Masatomi. International Crane Foundation, Baraboo, Wisconsin.
- ⁷ KUYT, E. 1988. Whooping Cranes in 1987: another year of progress. *Blue Jay* 46(3):136-138.
- ⁸ KUYT, E. and J.P. GOOSSEN 1987. Survival, age composition, sex ratio and age at first breeding of Whooping Cranes in Wood Buffalo National Park, Canada. Pp. 230-244. *Proceedings 1985 Crane Workshop*, Grand Island, Nebraska. Edited by J.C. Lewis. Plat River Whooping Crane Maintenance Trust, Grand Island, Nebraska.
- ⁹ PREVETT, J.P., B.C. LIEFF and C.D. MACINNEN 1972. Nest parasitism at McConne River, NWT. *Can. Field-Nat.* 86(4):369-371.
- ¹⁰ WALKINSHAW, L.H. 1949. The Sandhill Cranes. Bulletin No. 29. Cranbrook Institute of Science, Bloomfield Hills, Michigan. 20 pp.
- ¹¹ WALKINSHAW, L.H. 1965. Attentiveness of cranes at their nests. *Auk* 82:465-476.